EXHIBIT 11 FILED UNDER SEAL

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1167-1 Filed 08/11/17 Page 2 of 14 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
4	
5	WAYMO LLC
6	Plaintiff,
7	vs. Case No. 17-cv-00939-WHA
8	UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;
	OTTOMOTTO, LLC; OTTO
9	TRUCKING LLC,
10	Defendants.
11	
12	**HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY**
13	
14	VIDEO DEPOSITION OF BRIAN McCLENDON
15	Palo Alto, California
16	Tuesday, August 1, 2017
17	Volume I
18	
19	
20	
21	REPORTED BY:
22	REBECCA L. ROMANO, RPR, CSR No. 12546
23	JOB NO. 2668964
24	
25	PAGES 1 - 217
	Page 1

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1167-1 Filed 08/11/17 Page 3 of 14 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	make things move faster.	10:46:53
2	Q. Is he did he indicate that he was not	
3	satisfied with the speed upon which things were	
4	moving while it was under your leadership?	
5	A. Yes.	10:47:10
6	Q. Did he did Mr. Kalanick indicate to	
7	you anything in particular that he thought should	
8	have been done faster?	
9	A. I don't remember.	
10	Q. Did he communicate with was it	10:47:32
11	Mr. Kalanick had communicated to you that he was	
12	going to take over?	
13	A. Yes.	
14	Q. Was that in a call or in an email,	
15	meeting?	10:47:42
16	A. Initially, it was in a meeting.	
17	The official the the official one where it	
18	happened was in an email.	
19	Q. Okay. What what do you recall him	
20	saying in that in the the meeting?	10:47:56
21	A. I don't recall.	
22	Q. Okay. And do you recall what this was	
23	just an email from him to you or	
24	A. No, there was an email to the relevant	
25	parties, John Bares, Jeff Holden, myself, at least,	10:48:10
		Page 22

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1167-1 Filed 08/11/17 Page 4 of 14 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	THE DEPONENT: I I believe so.	11:02:57
2	Q. (By Mr. Perlson) Did you express any	
3	concerns within Uber regarding what Mr. Levandowski	
4	was doing in terms of recruiting people?	
5	MS. RAY: Objection. Form.	11:03:07
6	THE DEPONENT: I don't remember.	
7	Q. (By Mr. Perlson) Do you recall being	
8	concerned, yourself, as to what Mr. Levandowski was	
9	doing in terms of recruiting people at Google?	
10	MS. RAY: Objection. Form.	11:03:22
11	THE DEPONENT: I recall having some	
12	concerns.	
13	Q. (By Mr. Perlson) Okay. And what was	
14	your concern?	
15	A. How was he going to build a company and	11:03:33
16	get these people to go. And so he, I assume, had a	
17	method for doing that, that he was comfortable	
18	with.	
19	Q. Okay. And why wouldn't it be that he was	
20	going to be why wouldn't he be able to build a	11:03:49
21	company and get these people to come? Why were you	
22	concerned that that was an issue?	
23	A. I don't know what his nonsolicitation	
24	agreement looked like, so I don't know.	
25	Q. Did you have any concerns about his	11:04:05
		Page 33

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1167-1 Filed 08/11/17 Page 5 of 14 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	Q. (By Mr. Perlson) Have other than	11:22:29
2	counsel, have you spoken with anyone at Uber	
3	regarding any of the allegations in this case?	
4	A. I would have to say the answer is	
5	probably, yes, but I don't remember any specifics.	11:22:54
6	Q. Do you have any idea who you would have	
7	spoken to?	
8	A. No.	
9	Q. The complaint wasn't that long ago. Is	
10	there any particular reason why you're having a	11:23:07
11	hard time answering that question?	
12	A. You know, when when the complaint came	
13	out, it was a topic of discussion. I'm I	
14	suspect I talked about it to somebody besides	
15	counsel, but I truly don't remember who.	11:23:25
16	Q. Were people in on your team concerned	
17	about the complaint after it was filed?	
18	A. Yes.	
19	Q. What what do you remember them saying,	
20	in particular, about their concerns?	11:23:43
21	A. It feels like it's going to be a huge	
22	distraction.	
23	Q. Any other concerns?	
24	A. AT ATG, you know, philosophy is	
25	important, and it's going to distract and slow down	11:24:09
		Page 46

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1167-1 Filed 08/11/17 Page 6 of 14 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	to the Otto acquisition were realistic?	11:29:40
2	A. I don't believe so.	
3	Q. Did you provide any feedback in relation	
4	to any of the financial terms of the Otto	
5	acquisition?	11:29:52
6	A. I may have expressed an opinion to a	
7	person, but not in any not where I was being	
8	consulted and and giving feedback.	
9	Q. Do you do you recall providing an	
10	opinion in that regard?	11:30:09
11	A. I do. I do.	
12	Q. And tell me what you recall.	
13	A. I believe what I heard was that it was	
14		
14 15	and that seemed like an awful lot.	11:30:25
	and that seemed like an awful lot. Q. Okay. And for that seemed like an	11:30:25
15		11:30:25
15 16	Q. Okay. And for that seemed like an	11:30:25
15 16 17	Q. Okay. And for that seemed like an awful lot for what?	11:30:25
15 16 17 18	Q. Okay. And for that seemed like an awful lot for what? A. For any any acquisition.	11:30:25 11:30:45
15 16 17 18	Q. Okay. And for that seemed like an awful lot for what? A. For any any acquisition. Q. And did you when you heard that, who	
15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Okay. And for that seemed like an awful lot for what? A. For any any acquisition. Q. And did you when you heard that, who did you express that opinion to?	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Okay. And for that seemed like an awful lot for what? A. For any any acquisition. Q. And did you when you heard that, who did you express that opinion to? A. I don't remember who I said it to.	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Okay. And for that seemed like an awful lot for what? A. For any any acquisition. Q. And did you when you heard that, who did you express that opinion to? A. I don't remember who I said it to. Q. But you think you expressed it to	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Okay. And for that seemed like an awful lot for what? A. For any any acquisition. Q. And did you when you heard that, who did you express that opinion to? A. I don't remember who I said it to. Q. But you think you expressed it to somebody; you just don't remember who it was?	

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1167-1 Filed 08/11/17 Page 7 of 14 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	A. Yes.	11:36:00
2	Q. The so why was it that you wanted	
3	to to speak with Mr. Levandowski in	
4	June of 2015?	
5	A. I don't remember exactly why I said that,	11:36:18
6	but just catch up with him before I go. Because I	
7	was, you know, leaving leaving Google.	
8	Q. I see.	
9	So this was shortly before you left?	
10	A. Yeah.	11:36:32
11	Q. Got it.	
12	So what do you know what	
13	Mr. Levandowski was referring to by "Lots of fun	
14	things going on"?	
15	A. I don't remember.	11:36:45
16	Q. Okay. So did when when you when	
17	you spoke in in June of 2015, to	
18	Mr. Levandowski, did you discuss about the two of	
19	you potentially working together again?	
20	A. I don't recall.	11:37:13
21	Q. Did he indicate an interest, at that	
22	time, in going to Uber?	
23	A. I don't recall.	
24	Q. Do you recall anything about that	
25	conversation other than Mr. Levandowski expressing	11:37:22
		Page 53

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1167-1 Filed 08/11/17 Page 8 of 14 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	the list of things yet to do was a custom laser.	12:14:44
2	And so this was just a potential person who could	
3	work on that.	
4	Q. If you look further down there's it	
5	says "Unfortunately another rumor is that he headed	12:15:10
6	exactly this (custom laser) for Google and did so	
7	poorly that Salesky tried to fire him."	
8	Do you see that?	
9	A. Yes.	
10	Q. Had you heard anything about that	12:15:24
11	separate from this email?	
12	A. No. I do remember this email and I kind	
13	of remember being surprised at both of I didn't	
14	know either of those facts.	
15	Q. Okay. And it says in the last sentence,	12:15:37
16	"I have not written him off, however-just lots of	
17	warning signs."	
18	Do you see that?	
19	A. Yes.	
20	Q. And did you agree that these were that	12:15:53
21	those were warning signs?	
22	A. Yes.	
23	Q. Did you do anything in in	
24	August of 2015 in relation to those warning signs	
25	in terms of investigating as to Mr. Levandowski?	12:16:04
		Page 62

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1167-1 Filed 08/11/17 Page 9 of 14 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	A. I don't remember.	12:16:06
2	Q. The the if you look then there's	
3	an email from yourself to Mr. Holden in	
4	October of 2015, forwarding him the same email,	
5	from August of 2015.	12:16:32
6	Do you recall why you were re-forwarding	
7	that email at that time?	
8	A. I don't recall.	
9	(Exhibit 422 was marked for	
10	identification by the court reporter and is	12:17:49
11	attached hereto.)	
12	THE DEPONENT: Okay.	
13	Q. (By Mr. Perlson) So this is an email	
14	from yourself to Mr. Holden and Mr. Kalanick in	
15	August 17th, 2015, and the message is more "some	12:19:21
16	more gossip."	
17	Do you recall why it is that you were	
18	emailing them about this?	
19	A. I mean, I'm deriving from the mentions	
20	that this is probably when Alphabet was announced,	12:19:39
21	and I probably had a conversation with somebody at	
22	Google about what that meant.	
23	Q. If you look further down	
		12:19:57
		Page 63

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1167-1 Filed 08/11/17 Page 10 of 14 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	A. Yes.	12:51:44
2	Q. So and is the do you know what	
3	what the purpose of that meeting was?	
4	A. I as stated, for them to get to know	
5	each other.	12:51:57
6	Q. Okay. Fair enough.	
7	The so do you know what what, if	
8	anything, Mr. Kalanick knew about the discussions	
9	with Mr. Levandowski at the time?	
10	A. I don't remember specifics, but if you	12:52:17
11	look at the first line of the last email, which is	
12	the top, apparently I had talked to him a few	
13	times, including once where we went over deal	
14	terms. So I think we had talked I had relayed	
15	to him the supplier deal term discussions that we	12:52:32
16	were currently having.	
17	Q. And there's an email from you saying "At	
18	the moment just Travis and Anthony. As far as I	
19	know, Lior won't be there. I can go if needed."	
20	Do you know if if you went?	12:52:55
21	A. I don't remember. I might have.	
22	Q. Do you recall getting a report of of	
23	what happened at the meeting?	
24	A. I don't recall.	
25	Q. I guess I should say, do you recall what	12:53:21
		Page 80

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1167-1 Filed 08/11/17 Page 11 of 14 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	happened at the meeting, if you went?	12:53:24
2	A. Apparently, not.	
3	Q. Do you recall what Mr. Kalanick's	
4	impression of Mr. Levandowski was when he when	
5	he originally met him?	12:53:46
6	A. I I remember a description after the	
7	fact that the first meeting, this if it's	
8	this if this is truly the first meeting, that	
9	that first meeting did not go particularly great.	
10	And then it took a second meeting for	12:54:01
11	them to finally communicate well. But I don't	
12	remember the details of what was said or why. So	
13	I I must not have been at the meetings because I	
14	was hearing about it thirdhand.	
15	Q. And as of this time in in	12:54:17
16	December 20th, 2015, do you think that it's correct	
17	to say that most of the discussion was focused on a	
18	potential supplier arrangement?	
19	A. Yes.	
20	Q. And then after Mr. Kalanick got involved,	12:54:44
21	it then shifted towards an acquisition; is that	
22	fair?	
23	A. Broadly, yes.	
24	Q. What did you do to prepare for your	
25	deposition today?	12:55:12
		Page 81

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1167-1 Filed 08/11/17 Page 12 of 14 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	remember this was the meeting that John somehow	01:42:20
2	John Bares was somehow able to attend the meeting.	
3	So, yes, it did occur.	
4	Q. Why was he somehow what do you mean	
5	somehow?	01:42:30
6	A. Because he was actually planning to fly	
7	out, and and the original schedule the	
8	original plan for the meeting, he wouldn't have	
9	been able to attend. But he did attend, as far as	
10	I remember.	01:42:40
11	Q. Okay. Tell me what you remember about	
12	what was discussed at that meeting.	
13	A. I don't remember any details.	
14	Q. You just remember it occurred	
15	A. Yes.	01:42:47
16	Q and remembering because he had some	
17	scheduling issues?	
18	A. Yes.	
19	Q. So do you recall what Mr. Kalanick	
20	thought that the strategy should be at at that	01:43:01
21	point in time?	
22	A. Not specifically, no.	
23	Q. What about generally?	
24	A. I think, generally, sometime during this	
25	period, Travis eventually got on board with the	01:43:16
		Page 85

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1167-1 Filed 08/11/17 Page 13 of 14 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	Q. If you look on the first of all, do	04:53:49
2	you have any knowledge of any of the sort of	
3	specific technical details that are provided in	
4	here regarding the lasers project?	
5	A. No. I mean, I can read it and try to	04:54:10
6	figure out what it means. But I I didn't you	
7	know, I don't remember any of these details.	
8	And so I am not sure what you are asking.	
9	Q. Well, I guess I mean, there there's	
10	a fair amount of technical details about what was	04:54:31
11	going on at Otto, and but you did you roll up	
12	your sleeves to look at that stuff?	
13	A. I am not as smart as Scott and John. You	
14	know, their analysis was good enough.	
15	Q. Okay. If you look at there's an email	04:54:47
16	to you from Mr. Bares, I guess, on May 9th at	
17	5:44 p.m. And it says, "Brian, so we are in a bit	
18	of a touchy situation here."	
19	And he's saying, "As you know,	
23	As of this time in May May 9th, 2016,	
24	what was this before or after Mr. Kalanick took	
25	you off the the autonomous vehicle work?	04:55:34
		Page 162

